

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO But 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.waybi.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/544,151 | 08/01/2005 | Francis X. Smith | 3009108 US01 | 6429 |
| 44331 01/13/2999 HISCOCK & BARCLAY, LLP 2000 HSBC PLAZA | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | FAY, ZOHREH A | |
| 100 Chestnut Street ROCHESTER, NY 14604-2404 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1612 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 01/13/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/544,151 SMITH, FRANCIS X. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit ZOHREH A. FAY 1612 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 October 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4, 6-9 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

Application/Control Number: 10/544,151

Art Unit: 1612

Claims 1-4 and 6-9 are presented for examination.

The final rejection of August 13, 2008 is hereby withdrawn and has been replaced with the instant office action.

Claims 1-4 and 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Asgharian et al. (U.S. Patent 6,139,646) for the reasons set forth on pages 2-3 of the office action of February 5, 2007.

Applicant's arguments and remarks have been carefully considered, but are not deemed to be persuasive. Applicant alleges criticality to the ophthalmic composition that shows improved efficacy absent Al-trypsin. The examiner points out that the claims of the instant application use the word "comprising", which permits for the addition of other active ingredients. Applicant also alleges criticality to the increased anti-microbial efficacy of PHMB by the addition of a simple saccharide. It is the examiner's position that the prior art clearly teaches the use of a simple saccharide in a composition, which contains PHMB. Therefore, the increased efficacy is the expected property of such components being used together. Furthermore, applicant's claims are composition claims using the elements used by the prior art. Therefore, it is expected for the composition of the prior art to have the same property as the claimed composition in the absence of evidence to the contrary. Applicant's arguments regarding the presented data in the specification have been noted, however, such data are not commensurate in scope with the claimed language. The data are done at one concentration of polyhexamethylene biquanide. Applicant in his remarks argues the efficacy of chloride at the concentration of less than 0.2%. Applicant is reminded that the prior art also

Application/Control Number: 10/544,151

Art Unit: 1612

teaches the concentration of less than 0.2% for chlorite ion. Applicant also argues the increased preservative activity of biguanide by the addition of a simple sugar. The examiner points out that the prior art also uses simple sugar in combination with biguanide. Therefore, the increased preservative activity is considered to be the expected outcome of such combination.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ZOHREH A. FAY whose telephone number is (571)272-0573. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Fredrick Krass can be reached on (571) 272-0580. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/544,151 Page 4

Art Unit: 1612

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ZF

/Zohreh A Fay/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1612